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INTRODUCTION

The nineties has witnessed a growth in specialist family planning provision for adolescents including advisory clinics for young people. However, there has been no parallel development of teenager-friendly genito-urinary medicine (GUM) services, despite the prevalence of sexually transmitted diseases (STDs) in the adolescent age group. This article profiles a young persons' clinic in Morecambe which operates a combined family planning/GUM clinic one afternoon a week in a multi-agency, shop front, youth project. Attendances are high, particularly amongst young teenagers and males. The clinic enjoys a 66% follow-up rate and 11% of clients have crossed over from one service to the other on succeeding visits. Seventeen cases of chlamydia were diagnosed in nine females under 18. The benefits of a ‘one stop’ clinic and the youth project location are discussed.

The last decade has witnessed a growing interest in the effective provision of sexual health services for young people. Services for young people[12], including specialist youth clinics[13] and broader-based advisory projects run by youth and voluntary organisations[14], have been introduced. Consequently, young people’s clinics are now becoming more widespread in the UK and reported results indicate that they are well used and popular with young people[4,5,6,7], and increase access to contraception[8,9,10]. It has, however, proved difficult to provide outcome measures associated with lowered rates of teenage pregnancy[8,11], except by extrapolation from research on contraceptive services in general[12]. Nevertheless, preventing teenage pregnancies may be particularly cost-effective given the likely social costs of such pregnancies[10].

Sexual health provision for young people has been largely concerned with preventing unwanted pregnancies and providing appropriate contraception with “little, if any, evidence of an expressed need (by staff) to reduce sexually transmitted diseases in young people”[11]. Only 18% of health authority specialist services for young people offer screening for STDs[12], although young people are a high risk population[13]. For example, 10% of sexually active young women are infected with chlamydia[14], yet a recent survey indicated that only 4% of GUM clinics hold sessions designated especially for them[15].

Dedicated GUM provision for young people may not be the best solution. Although family planning and genito-urinary services in the UK are traditionally provided separately, there is some evidence that clients, at least, would prefer one stop access[14,15], and providing GUM and family planning ‘under one roof’[16] would be an improvement. Young people’s ignorance of STDs[17] and poor record in following up referrals[18] suggest that providing GUM services within contraceptive clinics may improve their access to GUM, and, not surprisingly, clients would prefer GUM to be provided within family planning services rather than vice-versa[13].

In addition, there has been a growing general emphasis on the benefits of multi-agency working[19] and this is considered particularly appropriate in the field of teenage sexual health where links between health services, voluntary organisations and youth services have been particularly stressed[20,21]. Research suggests that sexual health is a highly appropriate field for inter-agency collaboration because of the distinctive range of skills, roles, relationships[22] and access[23] to young people offered by different professional traditions.

THE CLINIC

The Young Persons’ Clinic (known as YPC) was set up in Morecambe in 1994 and the service is provided by Lancaster Priority Services NHS Trust. Central Morecambe is an area of social deprivation with high levels of unemployment, low skill levels and large numbers of transient young people in multiple occupancy accommodation[24].

YPC operates for one two-hour session per week timed to fit in with the after-school movement of young people (4.00-6.00 pm), and was initially established in the local health centre. Staff were unhappy with the clinical nature of the surroundings and wished to increase the existing links with other services for young people. Therefore the clinic was moved in May 1997 to the Young People’s Information Shop based in a town centre building which houses a range of voluntary and statutory welfare services for young people. The clinic is staffed by one doctor with clinical experience in family planning and GUM, three family planning nurses, a receptionist and a youth worker, all of whom are female. One family planning nurse currently works in a main GUM clinic as a health adviser and is responsible for contact tracing. The clinic is publicised through other professionals, particularly school nurses, and via publicity materials including credit-card sized information cards (see Figure 1).

The YPC was set up to offer both GUM and family planning services for the reasons discussed above. In addition, a combined approach was considered to encourage a more holistic view of sexual health amongst staff and clients. A combined clinic was also felt to be more convenient for clients and a cost-effective option.
November 1997 to January 1998, data was also collected from 40 clients on where they had heard about the clinic. The data was compared with young people’s attendances at the local family planning clinic in the year prior to the establishment of YPC.

**CLINIC ATTENDANCE**

879 visits (average of 17.2 per session) were made to the clinic during the year involving 382 different clients. Of these clients, 23% were male and 77% were female with slightly over a third under 16 years of age and two thirds under 18. Figure 2 shows the breakdown of sex and age group. Although there were only three young people of each age group, the figures for 13-, 14- and 15-year-olds are 18, 35 and 78 respectively. Fifteen-year-olds are the largest group to use the clinic, followed by 16-year-olds (70 clients). When all client visits are taken into account, the peak age is 16 with 55% of all visits being from 15-, 16- and 17-year-olds. These figures compare well with the Brook Advisory Centres in relation to attracting younger teenagers (13% under 16 and 21% 16- and 17-year-olds at Brook) and males (11% at Brook) although their centres show general trends in this direction.

The data shows that 62.5% of clients (25) claimed to have heard about the clinic through friends, with 15% (6) mentioning school or school nurse as their contact point. Several other sources were also identified by very small numbers including advertising, other health services and the telephone helpline.

The clinic enjoys a good rate of return attendances with 66.1% of clients making at least one follow-up visit (discounting new patients in the last four weeks of the research period). A review of those who did not make a return visit found that they were likely to be older than average and to have received family planning rather than GUM services.

75.7% of first visits are recorded as involving family planning services but this reduces to 69.3% for all visits, which is largely explained by the greater number of follow-up visits for GUM treatment, such as treatment of genital warts. Overall visits show that family planning is the element of the service more likely to be used by clients under 18 with 80.9% of family planning visits being taken by under-18s compared with 33.4% of GUM visits.

**REVIEW PERIOD AND DATA**

Data for this profile was collected from a review of attendances over a period of one year from October 1996 to September 1997, including information about the sex of the client, whether it was a first or follow-up visit, their age, whether GUM or family planning services were used, any GUM tests that were conducted and any GUM diagnosis. For
A comparison of these results with previous use by young people of the Morecambe Family Planning clinic (see Figure 3) suggests that the YPC is attracting client groups, particularly males, who may not have used FP services in the past.

GUM SERVICES

20.7% of first visits during the research period were recorded as resulting in GUM services, although the proportion of all visits which involved GUM advice, screening or treatment increases to 28.1%. Figures 4 and 5 show the number of screening tests that were carried out and diagnoses made by age group.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Females</th>
<th>Males</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High vaginal swab</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gonorrhoea</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlamydia</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIV</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hepatitis B</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hepatitis C</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Syphilis</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4 Samples taken or conditions sought

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Under 16 years old</th>
<th>16 &amp; 17 years old</th>
<th>18 years and over</th>
<th>Age unknown</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Thrush</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scabies</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pubic Lice</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSU</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gonorrhoea</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warts</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlamydia</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herpes</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gardnerella</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 5 GUM diagnoses by age

Whilst the diagnoses for STDs tend to be concentrated amongst the older clients, the incidence of nine cases of chlamydia in the under-18s, all of whom were female, suggests the value of such a combined clinic. The clinic uses sensitive inquiry of personal medical history and other indicative factors to decide whether STD screening should be suggested to clients attending for family planning. Five of the females with a positive diagnosis for chlamydia were crossover clients whose previous visit was for family planning services.

Overall there were 41 crossovers between services for individual clients: 25 clients who received family planning services on their first visit during the research period, were provided with GUM services on one or more subsequent visits. Likewise 16 clients crossed over from GUM to family planning services. This evidence also tends to support the principle that combined sexual health services for young people may be more successful at targeting clients who are not aware that they need the other service, particularly GUM.

DISCUSSION

The clinic has been successful in achieving high attendance levels particularly amongst young teenagers and males and reflects the patterns of attendance in other specialist clinics. Criteria identified as essential for young people's family planning services include:

- no appointment necessary
- convenient opening times and location
- provision of advice on any health problem or ability to act as a link to other services to meet other health needs
- free pregnancy tests
- women staff available, including doctors
- confidentiality
- clients can attend with friends
- telephone advice/help available

In all cases the YPC fulfills the criteria, exceeding it in the case of providing wider health services and referral to other agencies. The location in a youth information shop may also make it more comfortable for young people to use the clinic because the sexual health nature of their visit is not obvious to observers. Outreach work in local schools through school nurses and youth workers is also considered vital to high attendances, although the data shows that 'friends' are by far the chief source of referral.

The higher attendances at YPC may, however, be a feature of the national increase in young women attending family planning clinics. There has been a threefold increase in under-16 attendances in the six years from 1989 to 1995.

A key factor in explaining the change is probably the growth of both provision and promotion of specialist services for young people. In other words, the YPC may be a good example of the national trend in a local context.

YPC staff also believe that the clinic has succeeded in targeting vulnerable young people such as those with poor educational achievement, drug users and those with little family support. In their view, this has been achieved through their location in a multi-agency youth project with close links to other services for young people. In addition, it may be a feature of staff competency. Staff need not only to be competent as nurses or doctors, but also to have good skills in working and building relationships with young people. Adequate time for consultations is also important. The young age and low ability of some make the consultation heavy on time and very demanding in their intensity. Other research has indicated the importance to young people of not feeling rushed by clinic staff. The high rate of follow-up visits and the high referral through 'friends' supports the view that most young clients feel comfortable using YPC.

The major innovative achievement of YPC appears to be the diagnosis of STD infections in clients who would not have used a GUM facility because they were embarrassed, did not know where to go or were not aware of any symptoms. Only 23% of the 16-24 age group have heard of chlamydia. Therefore it is likely that whilst most young people may be aware that sexual activity can lead to pregnancy, few will be aware of the risk of contracting chlamydia without professional intervention to alert them to the risk. The staff at YPC consider that their success in diagnosing such infections has been accomplished because of
the combined 'one stop' service that is offered. Once a young person has visited the clinic, they know what and who to expect. Consequently, the experience of YPC strongly supports further development of youth centre-based, combined services for young people as an accessible and cost effective way of promoting young people’s sexual health.
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